



Mayor

Dee Margo

City Council

District 1

Peter Svarzbein

District 2

Alexsandra Annello

District 3

Cassandra Hernandez

District 4

Sam Morgan

District 5

Dr. Michiel R. Noe

District 6

Claudia Ordaz Perez

District 7

Henry Rivera

District 8

Cissy Lizarraga

City Manager

Tommy Gonzalez

HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES

FIRST FLOOR, COUNCIL CHAMBERS

CITY 1 BUILDING, 300 N. CAMPBELL STREET

SEPTEMBER 10, 2018, 4:00 P.M.

The El Paso Historic Landmark Commission held a public hearing in the Council Chambers, City 1 Building, 300 N. Campbell Street, September 10, 2018, 4:00 p.m.

The following commissioners were present:

Chairman D.J. Sevigny

Vice-Chairman Sam Trimble

Commissioner Sherri Mowles

Commissioner Charles Stapler

Commissioner Don Luciano

The following commissioners were not present:

Commissioner Vicki Hamilton

Commissioner Fred Morales

Vacancies:

District 1

District 8

The following City staff members were present:

Ms. Providencia Velázquez, Historic Preservation Officer, Planning & Inspections

Mr. Russell Abeln, Assistant City Attorney, City Attorney's office

Mr. Omar DeLaRosa, Assistant City Attorney, City Attorney's office

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Sevigny called the meeting to order at 4:03 p.m.

Postponed from 08.20.2018 meeting

1. **PHAP18-00035** 4 Altura Park 29 & 30 and E ½ of 28 & W 21.5 ft. of 31 (11460 sq. ft.), City of El Paso, El Paso County, Texas
Location: 3257 Aurora Avenue
Historic District: Manhattan Heights
Property Owner: Victor Duran
Representative: Victor Duran
Representative District: 2



Existing Zoning:	R-3/H (Residential/Historic)
Year Built:	1953
Historic Status:	Non-contributing
Request:	Certificate of Appropriateness for the construction of a new building on the lot
Application Filed:	08/06/18
45 Day Expiration:	09/20/18

Ms. Velázquez gave a presentation and responded to comments and questions from commissioners. She noted this is a corner property with the building set very far back from the lot. The HLC approved an addition in 2013 and the property owner would like to add a new addition. This new addition will be in the front and constructed very differently from the existing.



1 - 3257
Aurora.pptx

*The Historic Preservation Office recommends **APPROVAL WITH MODIFICATIONS** of the proposed scope of work based on the following recommendations:*

The Design Guidelines for El Paso's Historic Districts, Sites, and Properties recommend the following:

- The purpose of reviewing proposed alterations to historic structures is to assure that they will be compatible with the existing structures.*
- The way a building is situated on its site is very important to maintaining historic integrity within the site itself and the district's historic fabric.*
- All new construction (including detached infill and additions to existing structures) should preserve and enhance the streetscape by appropriately addressing the elements of the historic streetscape. This does not mean that the new structure should appear historic but it should appear aesthetically and architecturally compatible and reinforce the historic properties of the adjacent buildings.*
- New construction should maintain the building emphasis whether vertical or horizontal. The directional expression of each elevation should also be maintained.*
- New construction on a lot must be compatible in scale, setbacks, size, massing, and materials to the adjacent properties.*
- Buildings on corners should relate to the scale of the buildings on their respective streets. New construction and additions should be compatible in height and scale to attached and adjacent structures.*



- *The relationship between the height and width of a building establishes proportion. The proportions of new buildings should be consistent with the dominant proportions of existing buildings.*
- *The recurrent alteration of solids and voids (walls to windows and doors) in the façade of a building establishes a pattern. Architectural elements such as walls, porches, dormers, windows and doors should maintain the pattern and rhythm of the existing buildings.*
- *Front setbacks help to create a unified rhythm along a street. Side setbacks provide for ample distance between structures to permit airflow and sunlight to reach open spaces, ensure views are not blocked, and provide for privacy between buildings.*
- *New additions should be designed and constructed so that the character-defining features of the historic building are not radically changed, obscured, damaged or destroyed in the process of rehabilitation.*
- *Any new addition should be planned so that it is constructed to the rear of the property or on a non-character defining elevation and is minimally visible from the public right-of-way.*
- *Introduce additions in locations that are not visible from the street-generally on rear elevations.*
- *Locate additions carefully so they do not damage or conceal significant building features or details.*
- *Design an addition so it is compatible in roof form, proportions, materials and details with the existing structure.*
- *Design an addition that is compatible with but subtly different from existing historic homes in the district.*
- *Design an addition so that if removed in the future, the historic building's form and character defining features are not obscured, damaged, or destroyed.*

The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation recommend the following:

- *New additions, exterior alterations or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.*
- *New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.*



THE MODIFICATIONS ARE:

- 1. THAT THE BUILDING BE REDESIGNED SO THAT IT IS MORE COMPATIBLE WITH THE EXISTING BUILDINGS ON THE LOT;***
- 2. THAT THE ORIENTATION OF THE BUILDING BE CHANGED SO THAT THE ENTRANCES FACES SOUTH TO MATCH THE ORIENTATION OF THE EXISTING BUILDINGS; AND***
- 3. THAT THE PROJECT BE REDESIGNED SO THAT EITHER THE OVERHANGS CONNECT OR THAT A CONNECTION BETWEEN THE NEW BUILDING AND THE ADDITION IS CREATED SO THAT THE PROJECT MAY MEET THE ZONING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR APPROVAL.***

Victor Duran, property owner, and Lilia, daughter-in-law, responded to comments and questions from commissioners. Lilia provided Spanish language translation for her father-in-law.

Chairman Sevigny, Vice-Chairman Trimble and Commissioners Mowles and Stapler commented.

Russell Abeln, legal counsel, commented.

MOTION:

Motion made by Chairman Sevigny, seconded by Commissioner Stapler ***AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED TO APPROVE THE DESIGN OF THIS NEW BUILDING WITH THE FOLLOWING MODIFICATIONS:***

- 1. USE THE FLAT ROOF DESIGN OR USE A PARAPET TO COVER THE ROOF AND THIS WOULD ALSO INCLUDE THE OPTION TO INCORPORATE A COVERED PORCH IN THE FRONT TO REFLECT THE ORIGINAL BUILDING ON THE PROPERTY;***
- 2. THE DOOR WOULD BE MOVED TO FACE SOUTH (THE STREET); AND***
- 3. THAT A BREEZEWAY OR CONNECTION TO THE EXISTING BUILDING BE INCORPORATED TO MEET THE ZONING REQUIREMENTS.***



Postponed from 08.20.2018 meeting

2. **PHDM18-00002** 2 Harris 7 to 9 (11775 sq. ft.), City of El Paso, El Paso County, Texas
- Location: 110 N Harris Street
- Historic District: Ysleta
- Property Owner: City of El Paso
- Representative: Jay Banasiak, Sun Metro
- Representative District: 6
- Existing Zoning: C-1/H (Commercial/Historic)
- Year Built: 1934
- Historic Status: Contributing
- Request: Certificate of Demolition for a structure
- Application Filed: 08/14/18
- 45 Day Expiration: 09/28/18

Ms. Velázquez gave a presentation, distributed information and responded to comments and questions from commissioners. This request is for a Certificate of Demolition for a structure. Ms. Velázquez read into the record an email she received from Deborah Torres, President, Pueblo Viejo Neighborhood Association, in support of the proposed demolition.



2 - 110 N
Harris.pptx



US DoT FTA info -
Sun Metro presenta

*The Historic Preservation Office recommends **APPROVAL WITH A MODIFICATION** of the proposed scope of work based on the following recommendations:*

The Ysleta Historic District Guidelines recommend the following:

- *The demolition of any structure is a dangerous alteration, causing permanent change and damage to the character of an area.*
- *Concrete sidewalks border around the combined Ysleta Mission and Ysleta Pueblo del Sur area; however, walkways and other hard surfaces should be carefully planned as part of an overall design that will complement the historic mission and the Indian complex.*
- *Brick or similar pavers should be used very selectively. The paths would be used to direct visitors in the area to various points of interest.*



- *Development adjacent to the Ysleta Mission and the Indian Restaurant should use brick and/or stone pavers in earth tone shades in order to tie the areas together.*
- *Parkways, the area between the curb and sidewalk, should be landscaped with drought resistant plants since watering during the summer may be prohibited. For the most part, concreting a parkway is inappropriate.*

The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation recommend the following:

- *A property will be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces and spatial relationships.*
- *The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.*

THE MODIFICATION IS THAT THE BUILDINGS BE INCORPORATED INTO THE PROPOSED DESIGN FOR BUS SHELTERS.

Michael Villa, Transit Oriented Development Manager, Sun Metro, and Frank Benavidez, Transit Project Engineer, Sun Metro, responded to comments and questions from commissioners.

Chairman Sevigny, Vice-Chairman Trimble and Commissioners Stapler, Luciano and Mowles commented.

MOTION:

Motion made by Commissioner Stapler ***TO ACCEPT THE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS AND THEN APPROVE IT AS SUCH.***

After comment from the Chairman, Commissioner Stapler withdrew his motion.

MOTION:

Motion made by Commissioner Sevigny, seconded by Vice-Commissioner Trimble ***AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED TO APPROVE WITH THE MODIFICATION TO REVIEW THE 30%, 60% AND 90% DRAWINGS FOR THE PROPOSED BUS STATION.***



3. PHAP18-00036	Lot 1, Blk. 5, EPCC Rio Grande Campus, City of El Paso, El Paso County, Texas
Location:	113 W. Rio Grande Avenue
Historic District:	Sunset Heights
Property Owner:	El Paso Community College
Representative:	Richard Lobato
Representative District:	8
Existing Zoning:	S-D/H/C (Special District/Historic/Conditions)
Year Built:	N/A
Historic Status:	N/A
Request:	Certificate of Appropriateness for the construction of a temporary handicap access lot
Application Filed:	08/20/18
45 Day Expiration:	10/4/18

Ms. Velázquez gave a presentation and responded to comments and questions from commissioners. She noted the property is located on the corner of Rio Grande and El Paso. El Paso Community College is proposing a temporary handicap access lot with 11 handicap spaces. She noted there will be a retaining wall and some paving.

In August 2010, a very similar proposal was presented to the HLC. That proposal was for a different lot and included light fixtures, landscaping and walls. That proposal was for a permanent lot. *Commissioners denied that request due to the detrimental impact on the surrounding historic properties and the existing zoning at the time did not match the design that was submitted for approval.*

Ms. Velázquez explained the zoning for this property has been changed to accommodate this request. Additionally, should commissioners approve, the request must also be submitted to the City Council for approval. She noted, per this request, there is no definition for 'temporary' because *temporary* could be six months or five years. At the end of *temporary*, we have no way to enforce that.



3 - 113 W Rio
Grande.pptx



*The Historic Preservation Office recommends **APPROVAL WITH MODIFICATIONS** of the proposed scope of work based on the following recommendations:*

The Design Guidelines for El Paso's Historic Districts, Sites, and Properties recommend the following:

- *New parking areas should be designed to have a minimal effect on the neighborhood environment.*

The Guide to the Identification and Preservation of El Paso's Cultural, Historic and Architectural Resources recommends the following:

- *Designing new onsite parking, loading docks, or ramps when required by the new use so that they are as unobtrusive as possible and assure the preservation of the historic relationship between the building or buildings and the landscape.*
- *Designing required new parking so that it is as unobtrusive as possible, thus minimizing the effect on the historic character of the setting. "Shared" parking should also be planned so that several businesses can utilize one parking area as opposed to introducing random, multiple lots.*

The Magoffin Historic District Design Guidelines recommends the following:

- *The combination of vegetation with fencing materials will enhance the quality of the site.*

The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation recommend the following:

- *New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.*

THE MODIFICATIONS ARE:

- 1. THAT THE PROPOSAL BE PRESENTED TO THE SUNSET HEIGHTS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION FOR REVIEW AND COMMENTS; AND**
- 2. THAT THE PROPERTY INCORPORATE ROCK WALLS, APPROPRIATE LIGHTING, LANDSCAPING, AND GATES SO THAT THE LOT WILL BE MORE COMPATIBLE WITH THE HISTORIC DISTRICT.**



Richard "Rick" Lobato, Ruben Gallardo, and David Rawlings, El Paso Community College representatives, responded to comments and questions from commissioners. Mr. Lobato explained *temporary means two years*. Should the handicap lot become permanent, lighting and landscaping would be incorporated.

Chairman Sevigny, Vice-Chairman Trimble and Commissioners Mowles, Stapler and Luciano commented. Commissioner Luciano suggested EPCC add some lighting and greenery to the wall separating the parking lot from the house to the north.

Mr. Lobato responded EPCC could incorporate the lighting and greenery as suggested by Commissioner Luciano. Additionally, EPCC representatives are willing to conduct further talks with the Sunset Heights Neighborhood Improvement Association.

After the proposed *temporary, two years* have passed Commissioner Stapler suggested EPCC representatives come before commissioners to request the parking lot become permanent.

Mr. Lobato responded EPCC representatives would do so.

MOTION:

Motion made by Chairman Sevigny, seconded by Commissioner Luciano **AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED TO TABLE FOR NEXT MEETING.**

4. PHAP18-00037	30 Manhattan Heights W 66 ft. of 6, City of El Paso, El Paso County, Texas
Location:	2801 Silver Avenue
Historic District:	Manhattan Heights
Property Owner:	Rachel and James Moreno
Representative:	Rachel and James Moreno
Representative District:	2
Existing Zoning:	R-3/H (Residential/Historic)
Year Built:	1950
Historic Status:	Contributing
Request:	Certificate of Appropriateness for the installation of decorative tile on front step risers
Application Filed:	08/20/18
45 Day Expiration:	10/04/18



Ms. Velázquez gave a presentation and responded to comments and questions from commissioners. Ms. Velázquez noted this is a Mabel Welch designed home. As the story goes, Ms. Welch, on her deathbed, told her only son to take all her papers and burn them in McKelligon Canyon. Therefore, the original design of the homes are considered very special as the homes are the record of her work.



4-2801 Silver
Avenue attachment.

*The Historic Preservation Office recommends **APPROVAL WITH A MODIFICATION** of the proposed scope of work based on the following recommendations:*

The Design Guidelines for El Paso's Historic Districts, Sites, and Properties recommend the following:

- *Porches are functional as well as decorative features that help to define the overall character of a building. Many buildings feature porches, which are one of the most common architectural features. A porch and all of its architectural elements should be maintained and repaired. If elements must be replaced, materials should match the original construction. Porch railings and columns should be constructed of wood, pre-cast concrete, or brick. Stucco and wrought iron are permitted if original and characteristic.*

The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation recommend the following:

- *The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.*

THE MODIFICATION IS THAT THE NEW TILE MATCH THE EXISTING TILE.

Chairman Sevigny, Vice-Chairman Trimble and Commissioners Luciano and Mowles commented.

Rachel Moreno, property owner, responded to comments and questions from Commissioners. Ms. Moreno presented photos of other homes in the district with tile on the front steps. She reiterated the tile would be on the top step only.

MOTION:

Motion made by Commissioner Luciano, seconded by Commissioner Stapler **AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED TO APPROVE WHAT IS PROPOSED.**



5. PHAP18-00021	9 Sunset Heights S 62.5 ft. of 12 to 16 (7812 sq. ft.), City of El Paso, El Paso County, Texas
Location:	520 Los Angeles Drive
Historic District:	Sunset Heights
Property Owner:	Oscar and Nadia Moguel
Representative:	Veronica Ruiz
Representative District:	8
Existing Zoning:	R-4/H (Residential/Historic)
Year Built:	1955
Historic Status:	Contributing
Request:	Reconsideration of an approved Certificate of Appropriateness for the demolition of rear yard addition, replacement of casement windows with single-pane casements and sliders, construction of new window and door openings
Application Filed:	08/22/18
45 Day Expiration:	10/06/18

Ms. Velázquez gave a presentation and responded to comments and questions from commissioners. This property was presented to commissioners in June. At that time, commissioners approved *the Certificate of Appropriateness for the demolition of the rear yard addition, replacement of casement windows with single-pane casements and sliders, and construction of new window and door openings with the modification that the casement windows be replaced with like-kind casement windows and the remainder of the windows be replaced with sliders.*

Ms. Velázquez explained the property is located in the middle of the block; however, it is on the corner with an alley so every single façade is visible from the right-of-way. Today, the representative has come before commissioners because the request to replace casement windows with casement windows is too expensive and she would like commissioners to reconsider.

Ms. Velázquez explained she is recommending DENIAL because a denial is valid for 12 months. This is to prevent the representative from return appearances before commissioners for reconsiderations repeatedly.



5 - 520 Los Angeles.pptx

*The Historic Preservation Office recommends **DENIAL** of the proposed scope of work based on the following recommendations:*

The Design Guidelines for El Paso's Historic Districts, Sites, and Properties recommend the following:

- *Installation of windows similar to the original in appearance and structural purpose, regardless of construction materials is permitted. Windows in secondary façades shall be reviewed on a case by case basis.*
- *However, if windows are damaged beyond repair, replacement windows should match the type (such as double hung), style (for example six panes over six panes), and finish (paint).*
- *Replacement windows on the main façade shall be comprised of the operable portions of the window and match the type, style, operation, configuration and finish of the original windows.*
- *Do not use single pane picture windows or horizontal sliding windows if they are not the original window types.*
- *When repair is not feasible, door and window products will be reviewed on an individual basis using the following criteria:*
 - a. *Architectural and historical compatibility*
 - b. *Comparison to original profile*
 - c. *Level of significance of original doors and windows to the architectural style of the building*
 - d. *Three dimensional exterior applied muntins that simulate or match the original muntins may be approved. Single dimension interior applied muntins are not appropriate.*
- *New construction should utilize doors and windows of compatible size, style, and material in an appropriate pattern so as not to detract from the historical significance of the existing building.*
- *The size of the door or window opening should not be altered.*



The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation recommend the following:

- *Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property shall be preserved.*
- *Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence.*

Chairman Sevigny, Vice-Chairman Trimble and Commissioners Mowles, Stapler and Luciano commented.

Veronica Ruiz, representing the property owner, distributed cost estimates and proposed changes for commissioners' review. She requested commissioners approve the cost estimate for \$11,900.00 for the windows. She also requested commissioners approve her request to slightly change the size of two non-original windows. The purpose of changing the windows is for energy efficiency especially the large, front windows. She is proposing to keep window numbers 1, 2, 3 and 11 as casement windows.

MOTION:

Motion made by Commissioner Mowles, seconded by Vice-Chairman Trimble ***AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED THAT WE GO WITH THE MIDDLE OPTION, AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS, REPLACING THE TWO FRONT WINDOWS AND TWO SIDE WINDOWS, CLOSEST TO THE FRONT OF THE HOUSE, WITH THE CASEMENT WINDOWS AND THE REST BE SLIDERS.***

FOR CLARIFICATION

Chairman Sevigny clarified that would be the two windows on the west elevation, the northwestern window on the north elevation and the southwestern window on the south elevation.



6. Addresses of property HLC commissioners have requested that HLC staff review or investigate and provide a report to the HLC. If no addresses are submitted in advance and listed under this agenda item, commissioners may announce such addresses under this agenda item. Discussion on property announced at this meeting will take place during the next regularly scheduled meeting. September 10, 2018 deadline for HLC members to request for agenda items to be scheduled at the September 24, 2018 meeting. September 24, 2018 deadline for HLC members to request for agenda items to be scheduled for the October 8, 2018 meeting.

No address requests from commissioners.

HLC Staff Report

7. Update on Administrative Review Cases since last HLC meeting for the properties listed on the attachment posted with this agenda. (See Attachment "A.")

Chairman Sevigny commented on 1511 Reynolds Street – A request was made for re-roofing w/Owens-Corning asphalt shingle in "Chateau Green."

Ms. Velázquez explained the dominant color of the existing shingle was a green color and Chateau Green was the closest color to the original.

Vice-Chairman Trimble commented on 3134 Wheeling – A request was made for construction of a pool in the rear yard. He wondered if this was the same property that had come before the Commission earlier this year requesting demolition of a gate.

Ms. Velázquez replied yes and noted the gate has been partially removed and some construction has started. In addition, an agreement has been reached regarding the landscape plan. At the HLC meeting, Ms. Velázquez stated the removal of the gate was included in the modifications; however, commissioners did not include the removal of the gate in their final motion.

MOTION:

Motion made by Vice-Chairman Trimble, seconded by Chairman Sevigny **AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED TO APPROVE.**



Other Business – Discussion and Action

8. Discussion and action on Regular meeting minutes for August 20, 2018.



8-08.20.2018 hlc
meeting minutes.pdf

PAGE 13 OF 16

Vice-Chairman Trimble commented on the following:

MOTION:

Motion made by Vice-Chairman Trimble TO BREAK UP THE TWO PROPERTIES, 110 N HARRIS STREET AND 9065 ALAMEDA AVENUE, AND APPROVE 9065 ALAMEDA AVENUE TODAY. Motion died for lack of a second.

Ms. Velázquez stated staff will correct the minutes as noted by Vice-Chairman Trimble.

MOTION:

Motion made by Commissioner Stapler, seconded by Commissioner Luciano AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED TO ACCEPT THE MINUTES OF AUGUST 20, 2018 AS AMENDED.

9. Discussion and action on the amendments to Chapter 20.20 of the El Paso City Code.

Ms. Velázquez stated removing the portion of the ordinance, Chapter 20.20.080.A.1, *which states that properties on the National Register have to go through the same process as locally designated properties* was presented to City Council for review and approval at the August 21st Council meeting *was actually deleted by the City Council*. Therefore, that request to remove that portion of the ordinance did not go forward.

Ms. Velázquez explained the Historic Preservation office is now under the Planning & Inspections Department. The Planning & Inspections Department recently hired a new director, Mr. Philip Etiwe. She stated that she would meet with Mr. Etiwe to discuss what the next step should be.



Ms. Velázquez explained in order to have the revisions of the entire Chapter 20.20 approved outreach meetings with neighborhood associations, downtown property owners, other City departments, etc., must be held.

Chairman Sevigny asked if there was anything the Commission could do. He suggested re-proposing the ordinance language to the City Council to review the language again.

Mr. Abeln commented.

MOTION:

Motion made by Chairman Sevigny, seconded by Commissioner Stapler ***AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED TO REQUEST 20.20.080.A.1. TO BE RECONSIDERED FROM THE ORDINANCE (BROUGHT FORTH TO CITY COUNCIL AGAIN).***

10. Discussion and action on National Register nomination for Ray Sherman Place (4528 Blanco Avenue) and Tays Place (2114 E. Magoffin Avenue)



10 - Proposed
National Register nc

Ms. Velázquez gave a presentation and explained she received a letter from the Texas Historical Commission last week regarding the nomination of Ray Sherman Place and Tays Place to the National Register of Historic Places. Ms. Velázquez read the letter into the record. She added she would forward the links mentioned in the letter, regarding the draft nominations for Ray Sherman and Tays Place, to commissioners for their review as this item will appear on the September 24th HLC agenda.

The links sent to commissioners shown below:

http://www.thc.texas.gov/public/upload/preserve/national_register/draft_nominations/Ray%20Sherman%20SBR%20Draft.pdf

http://www.thc.texas.gov/public/upload/preserve/national_register/draft_nominations/Tays%20Place%20SBR%20Draft.pdf

